

BUILDING SCHOOLWIDE CAPACITY FOR STUDENT-CENTERED STEM INTEGRATION

Practioner:
Charmaine Palmer
Frank Lebby Stanton Elementary

Researcher:
Stephanie D. Teasley, PhD
University of Michigan

HEOV
Hearing Each Other's Voices

Research Partner Brief

HEOV
July 2026

RPB Introduction

Education in the domains of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics became a formal national priority over 50 years ago. Beginning in the early 2000s, these disciplines became collectively known as “STEM” and signaled a math and science reform as an integrated workforce and education strategy. However, in practice, instruction of these content areas is generally delivered in silos with little attention to how they may be taught in a manner that integrates knowledge across disciplines.

More recently there has been a focus on how STEM instruction in the K-5 space can provide learners the opportunity to demonstrate mastery of multiple disciplinary standards when STEM content blends these subjects in a cohesive manner rather than teaching them in isolation. According to the National Science Teaching Association (NSTA), integrated STEM is an instructional approach that blends concepts and practices from multiple STEM disciplines within a single learning experience. Doing so is consistent with the Next Generation Science Standards and has been shown to not only achieve the desired educational goals with elementary school-age learners, but also increase student engagement.

As integrated STEM instruction is not currently part of day-to-day professional learning in schools, districts are employing “STEM coaches” to provide educators with the support they need to create lesson plans that integrate disciplinary content while still addressing specified district and state standards. Building a schoolwide capacity and buy-in for student-centered STEM requires helping teachers, administrators, and instructional leaders view STEM as a shared responsibility across all content areas. This includes supporting educators in creating learning experiences that foster student ownership, collaboration, creativity, and real-world problem solving.

To illustrate how schoolwide STEM implementation depends on the collective efforts of teachers, students, instructional support staff, and administrators working with STEM coaches, the following five scenarios highlight common experiences and relationships within a growing STEM school. These scenarios take place in a school entering its third year of STEM implementation. During the first year, STEM learning was primarily housed in the STEM lab, where students engaged in engineering design challenges, career exploration, and project-based learning experiences. As the school expanded its vision, an additional grade level was added each year, moving STEM beyond the walls of the STEM lab and into daily classroom instruction. In these scenarios, the STEM coach is supporting fourth- and fifth-grade teachers as they integrate STEM into their teaching practices. While the STEM coach serves as an advocate, facilitator, and partner in this work, meaningful STEM implementation cannot be accomplished alone. Lasting success requires shared ownership, collaboration, and buy-in from all partners. The scenarios that follow demonstrate how the STEM coach’s relationship with each partner plays a vital role in building a culture where STEM lives and breathes throughout the school.



Scenario 1: Building Buy-In with Instructional Support Staff (Reading and Math Coaches and Specialists)

HEOV
Hearing Each Other's Voices

Mrs. Warner, the reading coach, has expressed concerns to the principal that the school's increased focus on STEM integration will reduce the two hours of reading instruction required by the district. She is worried that teachers will prioritize STEM over literacy, which will negatively affect literacy achievement. The principal asks Ms. Reid, the STEM coach, to meet with Mrs. Warner to address her concerns.

During their meeting, Ms. Reid begins by listening carefully to Mrs. Warner's concerns. She acknowledges the importance of literacy instruction and reassures her that STEM integration is not intended to replace reading instruction but rather strengthen it. Ms. Reid shares examples of integrated STEM lessons where students read informational texts, analyze data, conduct research, write explanations, engage in discussions, and support claims with evidence. Together, they review upcoming STEM units and identify specific reading standards and district literacy mandates already embedded within the lessons.

As the conversation continues, Ms. Reid shares examples from other STEM-certified schools in the district where literacy achievement and STEM implementation successfully coexist. She explains how interdisciplinary learning can increase student engagement and provide authentic reasons for reading, writing, speaking, and listening.

Recognizing the value of collaboration, Ms. Reid invites Mrs. Warner and the math specialist to participate in future planning meetings. She also helps coordinate visits to nearby STEM schools so instructional support staff can observe integrated instruction firsthand. Over time, the reading and math coaches begin to see STEM not as an additional initiative competing for instructional time, but as a framework that strengthens learning across all content areas.

Next Steps:

- Schedule a conversation to understand instructional support staff concerns.
- Clarify that STEM integration enhances rather than replaces literacy and math instruction.
- Share integrated lesson plans that clearly align STEM experiences to reading and math standards.
- Highlight the opportunities for students to read informational texts, analyze data, write explanations, and engage in academic discourse.
- Share student work samples and benchmark data.
- Highlight how interdisciplinary learning supports engagement, problem-solving, and deeper understanding.
- Invite reading and math coaches to STEM planning meetings.
- Include instructional support staff in STEM-related discussions and professional learning opportunities.
- Arrange visits to STEM schools where integration is already established.
- Facilitate classroom walkthroughs focused on interdisciplinary instruction.

Key Takeaway:

Buy-in grows when instructional support staff understand that STEM is not another initiative competing for attention; it is a vehicle for strengthening literacy, math, science, and student engagement through authentic, interdisciplinary learning experiences.



Scenario 2: Coaching Teachers Through STEM Implementation

Ms. Holland is an experienced teacher with 12 years in education. Most of her career has been spent teaching second and third grade, but this year she has been assigned to fourth grade. While she considers ELA her strongest subject and feels comfortable teaching science, she is still developing her understanding of STEM integration and Project-Based Learning (PBL).

Because fourth grade is part of the school's STEM implementation model, teachers are expected to participate in STEM planning and implement interdisciplinary learning experiences that will lead into PBLs during the second semester. Prior to the school year, Ms. Reid, the STEM coach, communicated these expectations and explained the benefits of STEM integration for student engagement, collaboration, problem-solving, and academic achievement. She also shared her coaching schedule, established an open-door policy, and emphasized that her role was to support teachers throughout the process.

As the school year progresses, Ms. Reid notices that Ms. Holland appears overwhelmed by the transition to a new grade level and the additional expectations associated with STEM implementation. Pre-work for professional learning sessions is often incomplete, lesson plans are frequently missing STEM connections, and classroom instruction reflects uncertainty about upcoming science and math content. Although Ms. Holland attends planning meetings, she participates very little and rarely asks questions.

Instead of viewing the situation as a lack of commitment, Ms. Reid recognizes that Ms. Holland may need additional support to build confidence and understanding. She understands that teacher buy-in often develops when teachers feel successful, supported, and valued. Ms. Reid decides to take a more personalized coaching approach that focuses on building trust, clarifying expectations, and helping Ms. Holland see STEM as a tool for strengthening instruction rather than an additional task to complete.

Next Steps:

- Schedule weekly one-on-one coaching meetings in addition to grade-level STEM planning sessions.
- Use coaching conversations to identify challenges, answer questions, and build the teacher's confidence with STEM integration.
- Work alongside the teacher to unpack upcoming science and math standards and identify natural connections to literacy and real-world learning.
- Model the lesson-planning process and demonstrate how STEM integration can fit within existing instructional goals.
- Co-plan lessons that gradually increase the teacher's comfort with interdisciplinary instruction.
- Offer opportunities for classroom modeling, co-teaching, and observation of effective STEM practices.
- Help the teacher create manageable implementation goals and celebrate small successes along the way.

Key Takeaway:

Teacher buy-in grows when coaches build trusting relationships, provide individualized support, and position themselves as collaborative partners who help teachers experience success with STEM integration.



Scenario 3: Teacher Growth Through STEM Implementation

HEOV
Hearing Each Other's Voices

By the middle of the school year, Ms. Holland continues to struggle with science instruction, math integration, and planning for her upcoming PBL unit. The transition to fourth grade has been more challenging than she anticipated. The science standards are unfamiliar, the math content is more rigorous, and the expectation of integrating multiple subjects through STEM and PBL feels overwhelming.

Throughout the year, Ms. Reid, the STEM coach, has provided planning support, resources, and opportunities for collaboration. She has maintained an open-door policy, encouraged questions, and offered one-on-one assistance when needed. Despite these supports, Ms. Holland often hesitates to ask for help. As a veteran teacher, she is uncomfortable admitting that she does not yet feel confident with the fourth-grade standards and STEM instructional practices. Because she feels uncertain about the content, she frequently struggles to complete the pre-work before weekly planning sessions, making it difficult to fully participate in collaborative conversations.

As planning for PBL implementation begins, Ms. Holland realizes that trying to navigate the process alone is creating additional stress and preventing her from growing as an educator. She begins to recognize that the STEM coach is not there to evaluate her but to support her learning. By becoming more open about her challenges and actively engaging in the coaching process, she starts building the confidence needed to implement STEM practices successfully and create richer learning experiences for her students.

Next Steps:

- View the STEM coach as a collaborative partner and resource.
- Acknowledge areas of uncertainty and communicate them openly.
- Trust the coach's experience and guidance throughout the implementation process.
- Complete pre-work and arrive prepared for planning meetings.
- Ask questions and seek clarification when concepts or standards are unclear.
- Be willing to learn new instructional approaches and take instructional risks.
- Embrace a growth mindset and recognize that learning is part of the STEM journey for both students and teachers.
- Use coaching conversations as opportunities to strengthen content knowledge and STEM integration skills.

Key Takeaway:

Just as students grow through productive struggle, teachers do as well. STEM implementation becomes more successful when teachers trust the coaching process, remain open to learning, and view the STEM coach as a partner in their professional journey.



Scenario 4: From Coaching Support to Classroom Impact

HEOV
Hearing Each Other's Voices

Mr. Allen is a fifth-grade teacher with 20 years of teaching experience and is in his second year of STEM implementation. During the first year, he often struggled with shifting from a teacher-directed classroom to one where students had greater ownership of their learning. Through ongoing support from Ms. Reid, the STEM coach, he began to better understand STEM integration, the Engineering Design Process (EDP), and the importance of student-centered learning.

Throughout the year, Ms. Reid and Mr. Allen continue to meet regularly during planning sessions and one-on-one coaching meetings. Together, they unpack the science standards related to constructive and destructive forces and identified math standards that could support student understanding. They connect these standards to a local issue: erosion within the community.

As the unit unfolds, Mr. Allen demonstrates many of the instructional practices he has observed and practiced during coaching sessions. Instead of beginning with direct instruction, he creates opportunities for students to explore the problem and share their own experiences. During a classroom visit, Ms. Reid observes students discussing examples of erosion they have noticed in their neighborhoods and recording their ideas on sticky notes. Students then decide whether to work independently or join classmates who are interested in the same aspect of the problem.

As students move through the Engineering Design Process, they research the causes and effects of erosion, investigate existing solutions, and analyze information from multiple sources. Mr. Allen encourages students to ask questions, challenge ideas, and support their thinking with evidence. Student conversations drive much of the learning, with Mr. Allen serving as a facilitator rather than the sole source of information.

Later in the unit, students brainstorm possible solutions, evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of their ideas, and work collaboratively to develop prototypes. The classroom reflects a culture where student voice, choice, and problem-solving are valued. Ms. Reid recognizes that many of the instructional strategies discussed with Mr. Allen during coaching sessions are now evident in his classroom. The students are engaged, taking ownership of their learning, and applying their knowledge to a real-world challenge.

Next Steps:

- The STEM coach and teacher meet to reflect on the lesson and discuss what went well, challenges that arose during implementation, and opportunities for improvement.
- The teacher identifies areas where students demonstrated strong engagement, collaboration, and problem-solving.
- Together, they determine next steps for strengthening student ownership, collaboration, and deeper connections to the standards.
- The teacher uses feedback from the coaching conversation to refine future instruction and continue strengthening a student-centered STEM culture.

Key Takeaway:

When teachers embrace coaching and implement student-centered STEM practices, students become active problem-solvers, collaborators, and critical thinkers who take ownership of their learning while applying standards to authentic, real-world challenges.



Scenario 5: Growing Administrative Support for STEM Integration

HEOV
Hearing Each Other's Voices

Five weeks before the district's mid-year assessment, the principal informs the fourth- and fifth-grade teachers that all STEM integration activities should be paused so teachers can focus exclusively on reading and math instruction. The teachers are discouraged by the decision because they have spent significant time planning interdisciplinary STEM units that intentionally incorporate reading and math standards. They are also concerned because students have been highly engaged and have demonstrated strong progress toward mastering the targeted standards.

The teachers share their concerns with Ms. Reid, the STEM coach, expressing frustration that the decision sends mixed messages about the value of STEM integration within the school. Ms. Reid recognizes the importance of advocating for both the teachers' efforts and the school's STEM vision while also helping the principal understand how STEM supports academic achievement. She knows that principals are often asked to make decisions based on data. She believes that when a principal understands how STEM supports school goals and student achievement, they are more likely to champion the work and create the conditions necessary for success.

Therefore, in the meeting with the principal, Ms. Reid shares student work samples, assessment results, engagement data, teacher testimonials, and examples of student growth. She knows it is important for administrators to see tangible evidence that STEM integration is helping students master standards to become confident in supporting the STEM work.

Next Steps:

- Meet with the principal to better understand the concerns driving the decision.
- Share unit plans that demonstrate how reading, math, science, and STEM standards have been intentionally integrated.
- Share student work samples, and assessment results that demonstrate academic growth.
- Work collaboratively with the principal to demonstrate how STEM integration strengthens assessment readiness by providing students with meaningful opportunities to apply and master reading, math, and science standards.

Key Takeaway:

Sustainable STEM implementation requires administrative buy-in. STEM coaches play a critical role in helping administrators see that STEM is not separate from academic achievement—it is a powerful vehicle for engaging students, strengthening standards-based instruction, and building a schoolwide culture of learning and problem-solving.



Closing Reflection

The scenarios in this brief illustrate that successful STEM implementation does not happen in isolation. It grows when instructional leaders understand the value of STEM integration, when teachers embrace opportunities for growth and collaboration, when students are empowered to take ownership of their learning, and when administrators champion STEM as a schoolwide priority. STEM coaches play a critical role in fostering this culture by serving as advocates, collaborators, facilitators, and thought partners for teachers, instructional support staff, and administrators.

Ultimately, sustainable STEM integration is not the work of one individual or one classroom. It is the collective effort of an entire school community working together to prepare students to think critically, solve real-world problems, collaborate effectively, and become lifelong learners.

Final Takeaway:

Sustainable STEM implementation requires administrative buy-in. STEM coaches play a critical role in helping administrators see that STEM is not separate from academic achievement—it is a powerful vehicle for engaging students, strengthening standards-based instruction, and building a schoolwide culture of learning and problem-solving.

Acknowledgments:

This Research Practice Brief was created with support from NSF grant # 2300618, Hearing Each Other's Voices: Community Models for Professional Learning for Teachers, STEM Coaches, and Researchers. C. M, Hmelo-Silver (PI). The authors also wish to thank the HEOV team, Dr. Qualyn McIntyre, Mallory Ross, and the staff at Frank Lebby Stanton Elementary School.



This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants 2300619 awarded to Digital Promise and 2300618 awarded to Indiana University.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License.

©2026 Digital Promise is a trademark of Digital Promise Global, registered in the United States and other countries, used with permission. Learn more about Digital Promise at digitalpromise.org.









